

MODEL CATEGORIES

ABSTRACT.

1. LECTURE 1

All categories are assumed to be small • with limits and colimits.

Given a category \mathcal{C} we can construct the arrow category $Arr(\mathcal{C})$ • whose objects are arrows in \mathcal{C} and morphisms are commutative squares.

small or locally
small?
Hovey uses
Map(\mathcal{C})

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category.

- (1) A morphism f in \mathcal{C} is said to be a *retract* of a map g in \mathcal{C} if there is a commutative diagram of the form,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ B & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

- (2) A *functorial factorisation* is an ordered pair (α, β) of functors $Arr(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Arr(\mathcal{C})$ such that $f = \beta(f) \circ \alpha(f)$ for all f in $Arr(\mathcal{C})$. That is, any $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ in $Arr(\mathcal{C})$ can be decomposed as $A \xrightarrow{\beta(f)} C \xrightarrow{\alpha(f)} B$, where C is some object in \mathcal{C} .
- (3) Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ and $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be morphism in \mathcal{C} . We say that i has *left lifting property with respect to* p and that p has *right lifting property with respect to* i if for every commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow p \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

there is a lift $h : B \rightarrow X$ such that $hi = f$ and $ph = g$.

Definition 1.2. A *model structure* on a category \mathcal{C} is three subcategories of \mathcal{C} called weak equivalences, cofibration, and fibrations, and two functorial factorisations (α, β) and (γ, δ) satisfying the following properties:

- (1) (2-OUT-OF-3) If f and g are morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that gf is defined and two of f , g , and gf are weak equivalences, then so is the third.
- (2) (RETRACTS) If f and g are morphisms of \mathcal{C} such that f is a retract of g and g is a weak equivalence, cofibration, or fibration, then so is f .
- (3) (LIFTING) Define a map to be a *trivial cofibration* if it is both a cofibration and a weak equivalence. Similarly, define a map to be a *trivial fibration* if it is both a fibration and a weak equivalence. Then trivial cofibrations have the left lifting property with respect to fibrations, and cofibrations the left lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations.
- (4) (FACTORISATION) For any morphism f , $\alpha(f)$ is a cofibration, $\beta(f)$ is a trivial fibration, $\gamma(f)$ is a trivial cofibration, and $\delta(f)$ is a fibration.

Essentially, (4) says that any morphism in \mathcal{C} can be factorised as a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration, or a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration:

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= \underset{\text{Trivial Fibration}}{\beta(f)} \circ \underset{\text{Cofibration}}{\alpha(f)} \\
&= \underset{\text{Fibration}}{\delta(f)} \circ \underset{\text{Trivial Cofibration}}{\gamma(f)}
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3. A category \mathcal{C} with a model structure and in which all small limits and colimits exist is called a *model category*.

Some remarks about the dual model structure, product structure. Some examples (perhaps non-explicit).

Lemma 1.4. (*The Retract Argument*). Let f be a morphism in \mathcal{C} such that $f = p \circ i$, and f has the left lifting property with respect p . Then, f is a retract of i .

Proof. Since f has the left lifting property with respect to p , we have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \\
\downarrow f & \nearrow r & \downarrow p \\
C & \xlongequal{\quad} & C
\end{array}$$

Then, the following diagram finishes the proof,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow f \\
C & \xrightarrow{r} & B & \xrightarrow{p} & C
\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 1.5. f is a cofibration (trivial cofibrations) if and only if f has the left lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations (fibrations).

Proof. Clearly, cofibration have the left lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations. For the converse, let f be morphism which has the left lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations. Then, using the functorial factorisation, $f = \beta(f) \circ \alpha(f)$. Since, f has the left lifting property with respect to $\beta(f)$, by the retract argument f is a retract of $\alpha(f)$ and hence, a trivial fibration. □

Corollary 1.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Cofibration (trivial cofibrations) are closed under pushouts. Dually, fibrations (trivial fibrations) are closed under pullbacks.

Proof. Follows from the universal property of pushouts (pullbacks). □

Remark 1.7. If \mathcal{C} is a model category, it has both an initial object (the colimit of the empty diagram) and a final object (the limit of the empty diagram). An object of \mathcal{C} is called *cofibrant* if the map from the initial object 0 to it is a cofibration. Dually, an object is called *fibrant* if the map to the final object from it is a fibration.

Moreover, if $0 \xrightarrow{f} B$ is any object in \mathcal{C} , we have a functorial factorisation $0 \xrightarrow{\alpha(f)} B' \xrightarrow{\beta(f)} B$, with $\alpha(f)$ a cofibration. Then, B' is called the *cofibrant replacement* of B . The notion of a fibrant replacement is defined similarly by considering the map to final object and the functorial factorisation (γ, δ) .

Lemma 1.8. (*Ken Brown's lemma*). Suppose \mathcal{C} is a model category and \mathcal{D} is a category with weak equivalences (that satisfy the two out of three property). Suppose $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor which takes trivial cofibrations between cofibrant objects to weak equivalences. Then, F takes all weak equivalences between cofibrant objects to weak equivalences.

Proof. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects. We wish to show that $F(f)$ is also a weak equivalence.

Let $A \amalg B$ denote the pushout of A, B over the initial object. We have the map $(f, Id_B) : A \amalg B \rightarrow B$. Using the functorial factorisation, this can be factored as a cofibration q followed by a trivial fibration p . This gives us the following commutative diagram, •

Note that i_1, i_2 , being pushouts of cofibrations, are cofibrations themselves. By the 2-out-of-3 property, as Id_B, p are weak equivalences, so is qi_2 . Similarly, qi_1 is a weak equivalence as f, p are weak equivalences. Thus, qi_1 and qi_2 are trivial cofibrations. So, $F(qi_1)$ and $F(qi_2)$ are weak equivalences. As, $F(pqi_2) = F(Id_B)$, we see that $F(p)$ is a weak equivalence. Hence, $F(f) = F(pqi_1)$ is also a weak equivalence \square

2. LECTURE 2

Definition 2.1. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a category with a subcategory of equivalences \mathcal{W} . We define the homotopy "category" • $\text{Ho } \mathcal{C}$ as follows. Form the free category $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}^{-1})$ on the arrows of \mathcal{C} and the reversals of arrows in \mathcal{W} . An object of $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}^{-1})$ is an object of \mathcal{C} , and a morphism is a finite string of composable arrows (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) , where f_i is either an arrow of \mathcal{C} or the reversal w_i^{-1} of an arrow w_i of \mathcal{W} . The empty string at a particular object is the identity at that object, and composition is defined by concatenation of strings. Now, define $\text{Ho } \mathcal{C}$ to be the quotient category of $F(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}^{-1})$ by the relations $1_A = (1_A)$ for all object A , $(f, g) = (g \circ f)$ for all composable arrows f, g of \mathcal{C} , and $1_{\text{dom } w} = (w, w^{-1})$ and $1_{\text{codom } w} = (w^{-1}, w)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Note that there is a functor $\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ho } \mathcal{C}$ which is identity on objects and takes morphisms of \mathcal{W} to isomorphisms. **Some discussion of duals and products.**

The category $\text{Ho } \mathcal{C}$ has the following universal property.

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a subcategory \mathcal{W} .

- (1) If $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor that sends maps of \mathcal{W} to isomorphisms, then there is a unique functor $\text{Ho } F : \text{Ho } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $(\text{Ho } F) \circ \gamma = F$.
- (2) Suppose $\delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a functor that takes maps of \mathcal{W} to isomorphisms and enjoys the universal property of part (i). Then there is a unique isomorphism $F : \text{Ho } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $F \circ \gamma = \delta$.
- (3) The correspondence of part (i) induces an isomorphism of categories between the category of functors $\text{Ho } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and natural transformations and the category of functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that take maps of \mathcal{W} to isomorphisms and natural transformations.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a model category. Consider the following three subcategories:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_c & = & \text{cofibrant objects of } \mathcal{C}. \\ \mathcal{C}_f & = & \text{fibrant objects of } \mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{C}_{cf} & = & \text{simultaneously cofibrant and fibrant objects of } \mathcal{C}. \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the inclusion functors equivalence of categories,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Ho } \mathcal{C}_c & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \text{Ho } \mathcal{C}_{cf} & & & & \text{Ho } \mathcal{C} \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{Ho } \mathcal{C}_f & & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a model category, and $f, g : B \rightarrow X$ be two maps.

- (1) A *cylinder object* for B is a factorisation of the fold map $\nabla : B \amalg B \rightarrow B$ into a cofibration $B \amalg B \xrightarrow{i_0 + i_1} B'$ followed by a weak equivalence $B' \xrightarrow{s} B$.
- (2) A *path object* for X is a factorisation of the diagonal map $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ into a weak equivalence $X \xrightarrow{r} X'$ followed by a fibration $X' \xrightarrow{p_0, p_1} X \times X$.
- (3) A *left homotopy* from f to g is a map $H : B' \rightarrow X$ for some cylinder object B' for B such that $Hi_0 = f$ and $Hi_1 = g$. We write $f \stackrel{l}{\sim} g$, if a left homotopy exists.
- (4) A *right homotopy* from f to g is a map $K : B \rightarrow X'$ for some path object X' for X such that $p_0K = f$ and $p_1K = g$. We write $f \stackrel{r}{\sim} g$, if a right homotopy exists.
- (5) We say that f and g are *homotopic*, and write $f \sim g$ if they are both left and right homotopic.
- (6) f is a *homotopy equivalence* if there is a map $h : X \rightarrow B$ such that $hf \sim 1_B$ and $fh \sim 1_X$.

3. LECTURE 3

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a model category and $f, g : B \rightarrow X$ be two maps.*

- (1) *If $f \stackrel{l}{\sim} g$ and $h : X \rightarrow Y$, then $hf \stackrel{l}{\sim} hg$. Dually, if $f \stackrel{r}{\sim} g$ and $h : A \rightarrow B$, then $fh \stackrel{r}{\sim} gh$.*
- (2) *If X is fibrant, $f \stackrel{l}{\sim} g$, and $h : A \rightarrow B$, then $fh \stackrel{l}{\sim} gh$. Dually, if B is cofibrant, $f \stackrel{r}{\sim} g$, and $h : X \rightarrow Y$, then $hf \stackrel{r}{\sim} hg$.*
- (3) *If B is cofibrant, then left homotopy is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{C}(B, X)$. Dually, if X is fibrant, then right homotopy is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{C}(B, X)$.*
- (4) *If B is cofibrant and $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is a trivial fibration or a weak equivalence of fibrant objects, then h induces an isomorphism,*

$$\mathcal{C}(B, X) / \stackrel{l}{\sim} \cong \mathcal{C}(B, Y) / \stackrel{l}{\sim}.$$

Dually, if X is fibrant and $h : A \rightarrow B$ is a trivial cofibration or a weak equivalence of cofibrant objects, then h induces an isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{C}(B, X) / \stackrel{r}{\sim} \cong \mathcal{C}(B, Y) / \stackrel{r}{\sim}.$$

- (5) *If B is cofibrant, then $f \stackrel{l}{\sim} g$ implies $f \stackrel{r}{\sim} g$. Furthermore, if X' is any path object for X , then there is a right homotopy $K : B \rightarrow X'$ from f to g . Dually, if X is a fibrant object, then $f \stackrel{r}{\sim} g$ implies $f \stackrel{l}{\sim} g$, and there is a left homotopy from f to g using any cylinder object for B .*

Corollary 3.2. *If \mathcal{C} is a model category, B is a cofibrant object, and X is a fibrant object, then left homotopy and right homotopy relations coincide on $\mathcal{C}(B, X)$ and are equivalence relations on it.*

Corollary 3.3. *The homotopy relation in \mathcal{C}_{cf} is an equivalence relation. Hence the category \mathcal{C}_{cf} / \sim exists.*

4. LECTURE 4

Proposition 4.1. *A map in \mathcal{C}_{cf} is a weak equivalence if and only if it is a homotopy equivalence.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a model category. Let $\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ho}\mathcal{C}$ denote the canonical functor, Q denote the cofibrant replacement functor of \mathcal{C} and R denote the fibrant replacement functor.*

- (1) *The inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{cf} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{C}_{cf} / \sim \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ho}\mathcal{C}_{cf} \rightarrow \text{Ho}\mathcal{C}.$$

- (2) *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\text{Ho}\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \cong \mathcal{C}(QRX, QRY) / \sim \cong \mathcal{C}(QX, RY) / \sim.$$

- (3) *if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map in \mathcal{C} such that $\gamma(f)$ is an isomorphism, then f is a weak equivalence.*

Quillen Functors.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories.

- (1) $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called a *left Quillen functor* if F is a left adjoint and preserves trivial cofibrations and cofibrations.
- (2) $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is called a *right Quillen functor* if U is a right adjoint and preserves trivial fibrations and fibrations.
- (3) Let (F, U, ϕ) be an adjunction from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . It is called a *Quillen adjunction* if F is a left Quillen functor.

Derived Functors.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be model categories.

- (1) Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a left Quillen functor. The *total left derived functor* LF of F is the composite

$$\mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho}Q} \mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{C}_c \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho}F} \mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{D}.$$

- (2) Similarly, the *total right derived functor* RU of a right Quillen functor $U : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the composite

$$\mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho}R} \mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{D}_f \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ho}U} \mathrm{Ho}\mathcal{C}.$$

Quillen Equivalence.

Definition 4.5. A Quillen adjunction $(F, U, \phi) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called a *Quillen equivalence* if for all cofibrant X in \mathcal{C} and fibrant Y in \mathcal{D} , a map $f : FX \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{D} if and only if $\phi(f) : X \rightarrow UY$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{C} .

5. LECTURE 5

6. LECTURE 6

Definition 6.1. Let I be a class of maps in \mathcal{C} .

- (1) A map in \mathcal{C} is *I-injective* if it has the right lifting property with respect to all maps in I . The class of *I-injective* maps is denoted by $I\text{-inj}$.
- (2) A map is *I-projective* if it has the left lifting property with respect to all maps in I . The class of *I-projective* maps is denoted by $I\text{-proj}$.
- (3) A map is an *I-cofibration* if it has the left lifting property with respect to every map in $I\text{-inj}$. The class of *I-cofibrations* is denoted by $(I\text{-inj})\text{-proj}$.
- (4) A map is an *I-fibration* if it has the right lifting property with respect to every map in $I\text{-proj}$. The class of *I-fibrations* is denoted by $(I\text{-proj})\text{-inj}$.

Example 6.2. If \mathcal{C} is a model category then, •

I = class of fibrations.

$I\text{-proj}$ = class of trivial cofibrations.

$(I\text{-proj})\text{-inj}$ = class of fibrations.

align this list!

Definition 6.3. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with all small colimits and λ be an ordinal. A λ -sequence X is a colimit preserving functor $X : \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$,

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_\beta \rightarrow \dots$$

As X preserves colimits, for all limit ordinals $\gamma < \lambda$, the induced map,

$$\mathrm{colim}_{\beta < \gamma} X_\beta \rightarrow X_\gamma$$

is an isomorphism. The map $X_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ is called a *composition* of the λ -sequence.

If all $X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ for $\beta + 1 < \lambda$, lie in some collection \mathcal{D} of morphisms of \mathcal{C} , then the composition $X_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} X_\beta$ is called a *transfinite composition* of maps in \mathcal{D} .

Definition 6.4. Let I be a set of maps in \mathcal{C} . A *relative I -cell complex* is a transfinite composition of pushouts of elements of I . That is, if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a relative I -cell complex, then there is a λ -sequence $X : \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that f is a composition of X and such that, for each β with $\beta + 1 < \lambda$, there is a pushout square,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\beta & \longrightarrow & X_\beta \\ \downarrow g_\beta & & \downarrow \\ C_{\beta+1} & \longrightarrow & X_{\beta+1} \end{array}$$

with $g_\beta \in I$. The collection of relative I -cell complexes is denoted by $I\text{-cell}$. If $0 \rightarrow A$ is a relative I -cell complex then A is said to be an I -cell complex.

Definition 6.5. Let γ be a cardinal. An ordinal α is called *γ -filtered* if it is a limit ordinal and if for $A \subset \alpha$, $|A| \leq \gamma$ then $\sup A < \alpha$.

For a partially ordered set A , a subset B is called *cofinal* if for all $a \in A$ there is a $b \in B$ such that $a \leq b$. The *cofinality* of A is the least of the cardinalities of cofinal subsets of A . With this in mind we note that an ordinal α is γ -filtered if and only if the cofinality of α is greater than γ .

7. LECTURE 7

Definition 7.1. Suppose \mathcal{C} is a category with small colimits and \mathcal{D} is a collection of morphisms of \mathcal{C} , A is an object of \mathcal{C} and κ be an ordinal. We say that A is *κ -small* relative to \mathcal{D} if for all κ -filtered ordinals λ and λ -sequences,

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_\beta \rightarrow \dots$$

such that $X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ is in \mathcal{D} for $\beta + 1 < \lambda$, the map

$$\text{colim}_{\beta < \lambda} \mathcal{C}(A, X_\beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, \text{colim}_{\beta < \lambda})$$

is an isomorphism.

Essentially, A is κ -small relative to \mathcal{D} if $\mathcal{C}(A, -)$ preserves colimits over κ -filtered ordinals.

Lemma 7.2. Let I be a class of maps in a category \mathcal{C} with all small colimits. Then $I\text{-cell} \subseteq I\text{-cof}$.

Lemma 7.3. Suppose λ is an ordinal and $X : \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a λ -sequence such that $X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\beta+1}$ is either a pushout of a map in I or an isomorphism. Then the transfinite composition of X is a relative I -cell complex.

Lemma 7.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with all small colimits and I be a set of maps in \mathcal{C} . Then $I\text{-cell}$ is closed under transfinite composition.

Lemma 7.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a category with all small colimits and I be a set of maps in \mathcal{C} . Pushout of coproducts of maps in I is in $I\text{-cell}$.

Theorem 7.6. (The Small Object Argument). Let \mathcal{C} contain all small colimits and I is a set of maps in \mathcal{C} . Suppose domains of maps of I are small relative to $I\text{-cell}$. Then there exists a functorial factorisation (γ, δ) on \mathcal{C} such that for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $\gamma(f)$ is in $I\text{-cell}$ and $\delta(f)$ is in $I\text{-inj}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hovey, Mark. *Model Categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 63, AMS.